

**City of Carpinteria  
Special Meeting of the  
Ad Hoc District Elections Committee**

**Tuesday, April 13, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.  
Notice of Virtual Meeting and Agenda**

---

**THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA HAS DETERMINED THIS MEETING TO BE AN  
ESSENTIAL PUBLIC MEETING THAT WILL BE CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO THE  
PROVISIONS OF THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE ORDERS N-29-20 AND N-33-20  
AND SANTA BARBARA COUNTY HEALTH OFFICER'S ORDER**

In response to the spread of the COVID-19 virus, Governor Newsom has temporarily suspended the requirement for local agencies to provide a physical location from which members of the public can observe and offer public comment, and has ordered all Californians to stay home except as needed to maintain continuity of operations of certain critical infrastructure.

In compliance with these orders, and to minimize the potential spread of the COVID-19 virus, the City of Carpinteria is not permitting public access to the City Council Chambers for this meeting. Instead, you are strongly encouraged to participate in the alternative methods explained below:

#### **VIRTUAL VIEWING OF PUBLIC MEETINGS**

This meeting is available to view live. Instructions and links are provided below.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

If you wish to make a general public comment or to make a comment on a specific agenda item, via the **eComment** link on the City's agenda website the following methods are available. Please note that the **eComment** link does not become active until an agenda is posted.

- Distribution to Board Members. If you wish to submit a hard copy of written comments to board members (as either general public comment, as applicable, or on a specific agenda item), please submit your comment via the **eComment** link on the City's agenda website (<https://carpinteria.ca.us/city-hall/agendas-meetings>) at least **three (3) hours prior to the start time of the meeting**. Please note that these comments will not be read into the record during the meeting.
  
- Read Into the Record During Meeting. If you would like your comment read into the record during the meeting (as either general public comment, as applicable, or on a specific agenda item), please specify this in your comment. Please submit your comment of less than 250 words via the **eComment** link on the City's agenda website (<https://carpinteria.ca.us/city-hall/agendas-meetings>) at least **three (3) hours prior to the start time of the meeting**. Every effort will be made to read your comment into the record, but some comments may not be read due to time limitations. Please also note that if you submit a written comment *that is over 250 words or do not specify that you would like this*

*comment read into the record* during the meeting, consistent with the City's practice when it receives written public comments on agenda items, your comment will be forwarded to board members for their consideration.

- Real-Time Public Comment Through Zoom Webinar. Members of the public attending the public meeting through the City's Zoom Webinar platform (see link provided below) have the option of providing real-time public comments on agenda matters. To make public comments through this platform please use the "raise your hand" feature to notify staff that you would like to make a public comment during designated public comment times. Once it is your turn to provide a public comment, staff will unmute your microphone and you will be given a designated amount of time to provide your comment (typically, the practice has been up to three (3) minutes per speaker on each item). At the end of your comment, staff will once again mute your microphone.

The situation with COVID-19 is constantly evolving and the City will provide updates to any changes to this policy as soon as possible. The public is referred to the City's web at [www.carpinteria.ca.us](http://www.carpinteria.ca.us) for the latest COVID-19 policies and information. The City of Carpinteria thanks you in advance for taking all precautions to prevent spreading the COVID-19 virus.

**ZOOM WEBINAR LINK:** This meeting is available to view live via Zoom Webinar by [CLICKING HERE!](#) Alternatively, you can join by following one of these methods: (1) log on to [www.zoom.us](http://www.zoom.us), download the application, select "Join Meeting", and enter Webinar ID 838 9042 6385; OR (2) call +1 (669) 900-9128 and enter Webinar ID 838 9042 6385.

1. Call to Order/Roll Call.
2. Public Comment: This is a time for public comments on matters not otherwise on the agenda but within the subject matter jurisdiction of the District Elections Committee.
3. Approve the minutes of the meetings held on June 17, 2019, October 14, 2019, and October 19, 2020.
4. Review the updated public hearing schedule and process for Transitioning to District- Based City Council Elections and make any adjustments as needed due to the pandemic.
5. Review of new public outreach options.
6. Scheduling of next meeting.
7. Adjournment.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 755-4403 or the California Relay Service at (866) 735-2929. Notification one business day prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements for accessibility to this meeting.

This agenda was posted on **Thursday, April 8, 2021**, on the City Hall Public Notices Window and on the Internet.

**City of Carpinteria  
District Elections Committee  
Special Meeting Minutes  
City Hall Council Chamber  
June 17, 2019**

---

**I. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL**

Committee Member Clark called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m.

Committee Members present:

Fred Shaw

Al Clark

Staff members present:

Dave Durlinger, City Manager

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk

Jena Acos, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria

Mack Carlson, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria

Public: Lisa Guravitz

**II. DISCUSS SCHEDULE OF PUBLIC MEETINGS**

**III. CONSULTANTS**

**A. Redistricting Partners**

**B. National Demographics Corporation**

**C Aptitude (Map Drawing Tool)**

**D. ESRI (Map Drawing Tool)**

Dave Durlinger, City Manager, provided an overview of the consultants who would assist with City District Elections.

Committee Member Shaw asked that staff bring back an estimated cost for map drawing tools.

A discussion ensued regarding the settlement agreement, deciding on the number of districts and whether to have an elected or appointed Mayor, and AB 849 Fair Maps Act, which would require the use of fair redistricting criteria.

Mack Carlson, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria, stated that the City Attorney's Office would bring back the status of litigation regarding the City of Poway and the City of Santa Monica related to at-large versus district-based elections.

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk, provided an overview of the hearings to establish the criteria for the districting maps and sequencing of the elections, map selection, and approval of the ordinance and maps.

A discussion ensued regarding the committee members working with the consultant to create draft district maps, which would be submitted to the City Council for consideration at public meetings.

Mr. Durflinger discussed community outreach opportunities.

A discussion ensued regarding SB 139, The Peoples' Map Act, which currently does not apply to cities but would require counties with 250,000 or more residents to establish independent redistricting commissions to draw district lines using fair criteria.

Jana Acos, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria, provided an overview of the consultant assisting with drafting a draft process and timeline, assisting with outreach, establishing potential district boundaries; waiting on the 2020 Census data; being mindful of pending legislation that might affect redistricting; and working with the timeline to send the adopted district map to County Elections for the 2022 election.

Lisa Guravitz discussed the public's involvement in the District Elections Committee meetings and expressed her desire to provide input. Jana Acos, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria, noted that public participation would be included during the district mapping criteria process, map review, and final hearing prior to adoption of the ordinance.

Committee Member Shaw expressed interest in creating a working group made up of members of the community and the individuals who expressed support for district elections.

Mr. Durflinger suggested asking the consultant attend the next meeting to articulate possible decisions related to the creation of a working group of community members and the individuals who expressed support for district elections, the number of districts, appointed Mayor versus elected Mayor, outreach, etc. The Committee Members agreed.

#### **IV. SPANISH SPEAKING COMMUNITY OUTREACH**

- A. Local churches**
- B. N2N**
- C. Los Promotores**
- D. City Communication outlets  
(E-newsletter, City scroll, Social Media, Advertising, Press Releases, City Web Page)**

A discussion was held regarding venues and tools that might be used to reach the Spanish speaking community.

#### **V. SCHEDULING OF NEXT MEETING**

The next District Elections Committee meeting will be scheduled in July when the consultant is available.

#### **VI. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:04 p.m.

---

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk

**CITY OF CARPINTERIA  
Ad Hoc District Elections Committee  
Special Meeting Minutes  
City Hall Council Chamber  
October 14, 2019**

---

**I. Call to Order**

Councilmember Member Shaw called the meeting to order at 2:06 p.m.

Committee Members present:

Councilmember Fred Shaw

Vice-Mayor Al Clark was absent.

Staff members present:

Dave Durflinger, City Manager

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk

Mack Carlson, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria

Consultant:

Paul Mitchell, Owner of Redistricting Partners

Public:

Lisa Guravitz

Gabby Edwards

Gail Marshall

**II. Process of Transitioning to District-Based City Council Elections**

Mr. Mitchell, Demographer and Vice President of Political Data, presented a PowerPoint presentation of the California Voting Rights Act and Districting in the City of Carpinteria. He distributed a handout entitled "Districting for City Council Election – Community of Interest Worksheet."

Mr. Mitchell responded to a question regarding having five districts versus four districts and an at-large elected Mayor by stating that generally State law does not allow less than five districts.

Mr. Mitchell reviewed the public hearing timeline and process.

**III. Action Plan for Community Outreach and Education**

A discussion ensued regarding holding informational forums to educate the public regarding redistricting prior to holding public hearings, public participation when drawing the districting maps, and community outreach activities.

**IV. Scheduling of Next Meeting**

No new meeting date was scheduled.

**V. Adjournment**

Councilmember Shaw adjourned the meeting at 3:08 p.m.

---

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk

**City of Carpinteria  
Ad Hoc District Elections Committee  
Special Meeting Minutes  
Virtual Meeting  
October 19, 2020**

---

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

Vice Mayor Clark called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m.

Committee Members present:

Councilmember Fred Shaw

Vice Mayor Al Clark

Staff members present:

Dave Durlinger, City Manager

Jena Acos, on behalf of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP acting as City Attorney of the City of Carpinteria

Fidela Garcia, City Clerk

Consultant:

Paul Mitchell, Redistricting Partners

Evan McLaughlin

Kimi Shigetaini

Members of the Public present:

Lisa Guravitz

Gail Marshall

2. Public Comment: This is a time for public comments on matters not otherwise on the agenda but within the subject matter jurisdiction of the District Elections Committee.

No public comment was heard.

Mr. Durlinger commented with regard to the following agenda items 3 and 4, and said that this was a restart meeting because of having been derailed by this year's pandemic. He said a discussion was held at the last meeting about initiating meeting processes and an initial community meeting to where communities of interest would be identified and said that a discussion would be to talk about resetting the process, the schedule of the districting process, and to review some scenarios of dates that Mr. Mitchell and his team have developed for discussion. He also said that most likely meeting restrictions would continue and that some ideas would be shared on how to get this important work done in order to get the best public input on the district creation process with those meeting restrictions in place.

3. Review the public hearing schedule and process for Transitioning to District-Based City Council Elections and make any adjustments as needed due to the pandemic.

A discussion was held with regard to the five public hearings required and the possible time lines for those meetings to occur.

4. Review of new public outreach options.

Mr. Durflinger mentioned that public meetings could be constrained by the pandemic ongoing. He stated that the City is working on a hybrid public meeting to allow the public to testify in person at public meetings and should be completed by the end of November. For a workshop meeting, the idea, he said, of having people gathered in a room at tables drawing maps with the restrictions in place would be challenging. He offered the suggestion of having the meeting at a park where it is facilitated in smaller groups such as three persons at a table and people could mark some maps. Another suggestion is possibly making mapping packets available for the public to take home and mark up and resubmit to the City. He said these are the kinds of ideas being talked about to get public input. Mr. Durflinger brought up a discussion previously held on the availability of online digital mapping tools. He said it could be used, but that as previously stated they are inherently limiting in terms of who gets to participate because of social economic aspects and technical understanding. Now with the pandemic, the committee may wish to revisit this as an additional tool to be used along with others. He said the idea is to get people to participate in a way that is simple and straight forward.

Mr. Mitchell commented that the best engagement in the mapping process is to strip away the high learning curve that is required in using a GIS mapping program. He said that an in-person map drawing process is much simpler. He gave an example used in the Compton Community College District where they provided laminated maps and had people draw in the district lines. It was an easy hands-on process to do. He said that on-line software becomes a little more challenging, but training could be provided; but for the people who are most likely to participate, it might be a considerable barrier. He said it is possible to provide laminated maps for the public to sign up to receive; a public workshop could be held to show the public how to draw the maps and they could even take a picture with their cell phones and email it to his group. His group could then take those photographs with the drawn districts by the public and input the lines drawn into the districting software and produce the same map from the software for that member of the public. The consultant then could provide a library with all the maps, population breakdowns, and demographic break downs. This kind of hands-on organic process shows that the community engagement was ultimately the thing driving the final plans which is valuable.

Councilmember Shaw stated he is fond of the idea because people will most likely get together with a few friends to figure it out so a wider range of opinions from the community would be arrived at that way.

Mr. Mitchell responded to Vice-Mayor Clark's questions by stating that the deadline for having this all done for the next election would be August of 2022, but that Santa Barbara County Elections would be asking the City to get it done much sooner. He said he believed the County would want the City to be completely done by July 1, 2022.

Mrs. Acos addressed the question brought up by Mr. Mitchell of what requirements are in place based on the agreement with the claimants by stating that the only requirement is that district elections be in place by the November 2022 election cycle and that Mr. Mitchell was on the right track with estimating the dates.

Ms. Garcia stated that the nomination period is mid-July to mid-August so it makes sense that the district maps would need to be in place at the latest by July.

Public comment was heard from Lisa Guravitz who stated she would like to reiterate that she hopes that no gerrymandering is done in order to keep City councilmembers on or off the council. She would like to keep this a clean process and believes that would happen, but wanted to voice her strong feelings about it.

Mr. Mitchell responded by stating the City is doing a project under the California Voting Rights Act Safe Harbor provisions and that there are simultaneously another set of codes that has to do with the redistricting process for California cities and counties called the Fair Maps Act. He said it is up to the City Attorney to decide whether or not the City needs to expressly follow the Fair Maps Act provisions or whether those are essentially guidelines for the City. One difference between the California Voting Rights Act Safe Harbor Provisions and Fair Maps Act are that the Fair Maps Act disallows the use of incumbency or candidate addresses as a community of interest and also disallows the use of partisanship as a community of interest. The Fair Maps Act further states with regard to political parties that one cannot draw lines to hurt or benefit a political party. He said that a best practice is to expressly ask to not know where the councilmembers live to avoid drawing lines for that purpose. In the numbering process there will be some understanding of which districts will come up and which councilmembers might run in which election. Redistricting Partners role is not and can't be one of drawing lines specifically considering partisanship or incumbents or candidate addresses in the process.

A discussion ensued regarding the following election scenario in an attempt to understand how the election would affect a particular district. In a district coming up for election in 2022: if someone who has been elected in 2020 and happens to live in a particular district up for election in 2022 would have two options. They could either run for

that district seat in 2022 (two years prematurely) or could fulfill their four years and if they don't move prior to the next election then they are done with their term and there won't be an election in their area for two more years. They could run again in 2026 and be off the council for two years. This is something that when the lines are drawn the councilmembers will look and be able to see what district they live in and people running for office get to see as well. Once the lines are drawn, requests may come in for how districts are numbered determining when they come up for election as part of the process at the end.

Mrs. Acos agreed with Mr. Durflinger's comments that she believed should a councilmember abandon their 2020 seat to run for and get elected to a 2022 district seat leaving one of the 2020 at-large seats vacant for two years, that the Council would have the usual tools to fill that at-large vacant seat by either appointing or conducting a special election.

Discussion ensued on how the City Council might consider selection of how the districts are numbered and which of those numbers would end up coming up for election first.

Councilmember Shaw raised the question, what happens to the rotating position of Mayor. Mrs. Acos responded that she agreed with Mr. Durflinger that it would not be different from what the Council does now to appoint a Mayor unless that approach was changed.

Mr. Durflinger provided closing comments on outcomes of the meeting as follows:

- Good ideas were heard on scheduling and how that would unfold.
- He liked the idea of Mr. Mitchell's description of the process of getting laminated maps out to those who request for map drawing and having Mr. Mitchell's team load the data into the software to use in their mapping process.
- Anticipate holding in-person workshops out in the park or someplace neutral

5. Scheduling of next meeting.

Next meeting to be scheduled for December 16, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

6. Adjournment

Vice Mayor Clark adjourned the meeting at 4:03 p.m.




## Redistricting Process Timeline

|                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| April 2021                                                     | Kickoff meeting with City of Carpinteria staff (online)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| June 2021                                                      | Website/Public Mapping Options Launched<br><br>Public Outreach to publicize process and initial meeting dates publicized                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| September 2021                                                 | Public Outreach Meeting – Presentation to public around the principles of redistricting, opportunity for pre-map public input on communities of interest. Presentation from the public of any maps identifying communities, discussion of preferences of neighborhoods, geographic or other features that should be considered in drawing of public plans. Opportunity for public to submit their own maps.                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| October 2021<br>Post-Census<br><br>Map Draw<br><br>Meeting 1   | Hearing with public input on publicly drawn plans with any input from the public on new potential maps. Best practice is for any draft maps to be made public 7 days prior to hearing to provide greater transparency and enrich the public opportunity for input.<br><br><i>(Timing on this and subsequent meetings is dependent on the release of data from the US Census, now scheduled for mid to late August. A six-week extension should be added for processing and prisoner reallocation with an additional 7 day waiting period per the Fair Maps Act. This could be done as early as mid-October.)</i> |
| January 2022<br>Post-Census<br><br>Map Review<br><br>Meeting 2 | Hearing with public and City Council input with the goal of having the City Council select a single map that will be the final map to go to a vote at the final City Council Meeting. Again, we would release any second draft or revised maps seven days prior to the hearing.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| February 2022<br>Final City Council Meeting                    | Up or down vote on the final map selected by the City Council.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|                                                             |                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| March 2022<br>Possible 5 <sup>th</sup><br>hearing if needed | If changes are made to maps at February meeting, March 2022 meeting will be the final vote. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

# CVRA and Districting City of Carpinteria



# Overview

This presentation will cover a range of topics to facilitate a process of public education and involvement in a transparent and fair districting process.

- The California Voting Rights Act
- Districting vs. Redistricting vs. Gerrymandering
- Traditional Districting/Redistricting Principles
- Population and Composition
- Mapping of the City of Carpinteria

# What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act is a state law that prohibits the use of At-Large election systems in local government if there is racially polarized voting.

“At Large” is defined as anything other than a system in which an elected official lives in a district, and is only elected by members of that district.

“Racially Polarized Voting” is determined through an analysis which quantifies the amount of voting which can be shown to be correlated to race.

# What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act takes the principles of the Federal Voting Rights Act and expands it regarding districted elections in two key ways:

While Federal law uses “majority minority” districts as a standard for vulnerability, the CVRA only requires “ability to influence.”

The CVRA requires that plaintiffs get full reimbursement for legal fees associated with any successful challenge.

# What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act takes the principles of the Federal Voting Rights Act and expands it regarding districted elections in two key ways:

While Federal law uses “majority minority” districts as a standard for vulnerability, the CVRA only requires “ability to influence.”

The CVRA requires that plaintiffs get full reimbursement for legal fees associated with any successful challenge. ***These can be lessened or eliminated if the district follows a strict and prompt process for districting.***

# What is Districting

## definition

Districting is the initial process of creating election district boundaries.

These boundaries determine:

- Eligibility to run for office - must live within boundaries to qualify for election.
- Who votes in the election – only voters within the district vote for their councilmember.

# What is Districting

## definition

Districting is the initial process of creating election district boundaries.

These boundaries do not determine:

- How the city decides to govern. The city can still work to achieve goals that benefit the city as a whole rather than the interests of any single district.
- How services or relationships between the city and the public are managed.

# What is Redistricting

## definition

Redistricting is the process of adjusting district lines every 10 years after the release of the US Census. The best known examples are Congress and the legislature.

Within the US, redistricting has become an extremely politicized process and been the subject of more high-profile Supreme Court decisions than any other part of our elections system.

# What is Gerrymandering

## The Gerrymander

The term Gerrymander came from a cartoon depicting a rather serpentine looking district created by Governor Elbridge Gerry in Massachusetts.




# What is Gerrymandering

## the Gerrymander


There are more recent examples of gerrymandering, even in California.

This 2001 Senate District is a great example.




# What is Gerrymandering

How does gerrymandering work?




# What is Gerrymandering

How does gerrymandering work?



# What is Gerrymandering

How does gerrymandering work?



# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- **Relatively equal size - people, not citizens**
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- **Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump**
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- **Maintain “communities of interest”**
- Follow city/county/local government lines
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Communities of Interest

Bringing like people together for representation

What is a community of interest includes ethnic and language minorities and other groups.

Communities covered by the Voting Rights Act

- Latinos
- Asians
- African Americans

While race is a community of interest, it cannot be the *predominant factor* in drawing districts.

# Communities of Interest

Bringing like people together for representation

What is a community of interest includes ethnic and language minorities and other groups.

Other Communities, example are:

- People living near an industry (farming, higher education, manufacturing)
- Senior Citizens or Students
- Downtown / Urban
- Rural or Agricultural
- Homeowners or Renters

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- **Follow city/county/local government lines**
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines
- **Keep districts compact – appearance/function**

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from becoming a Gerrymander

## New Criteria for 2020 under the Fair Maps Act:

- Count prisoner population at their residence prior to incarceration.
- Defines “communities of interest” as not including relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- Prohibits districts from being drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.
- Prohibits mid-decade redistricting.

# City of Carpinteria

## Preliminary Findings

Estimated population according to the American Community Survey is 13,376.

We can't use the estimated population for the districting process, but we can use it for planning purposes. Using this estimated population to determine an estimated target size of a council district the ideal population is:

**Ideal Population for 5 districts: 2,675**

Under the “equal population” criteria the total district population deviation (from smallest to largest) cannot exceed 10%.

# City of Carpinteria

## Preliminary Findings

For determining ethnicity of a district we use the American Community Survey calculations of Citizen Voting Age Population or “CVAP” which is also sometimes called “eligible voters population”:

- CVAP: 8,871
- Asian CVAP: 167
- Latino CVAP: 2,642
- Black CVAP: 24
- White CVAP: 6,020





# Asian CVAP



**Asian CVAP**

- 4% and below
- 4% to 10%
- 10% to 17%
- 17% to 26%
- 26% to 37%
- 37% to 50%
- 50% to 66%
- 66% and above
- Other

**District Status**

- Locked
- Completed

**District**

- CITY (1)
- Other (1)

0 .15 .3

# City of Carpinteria

## What's Next

Public hearings will be held to obtain input on communities of interest and receive feedback on potential districting plans prior to board adoption

Input can be provided in public hearings or using our Community of Interest Worksheet.



# City of Carpinteria

## What's Next

Public hearings will be held to obtain input on communities of interest and receive feedback

October 14, 2019  
September 2021

1<sup>st</sup> Public Hearing  
2<sup>nd</sup> Public Hearing

**(Wait for Census Release Summer / Fall of 2021)**

*7 Days before 3<sup>rd</sup> Hearing*  
October 2021  
*7 Days before 4<sup>th</sup> Hearing*  
January 2022  
*7 Days before adoption*  
February 2022

*Initial draft maps published*  
1<sup>st</sup> Map Hearing  
*Publish amended draft maps (if any)*  
2<sup>nd</sup> Map Hearing  
*Publish final map*  
Adoption



# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS